

**On-site Evaluation  
ACE Impact Component 1.2  
Proposals for RENEWALS of ACE 1 Centers**

<b>Proposal Title:</b>	
<b>Institution:</b>	
<b>Country:</b>	
<b>TOTAL SCORE:</b>	<b>/130</b>

Criteria for Evaluation	Score
<p><b>Institutional Leadership and Project Ownership (maximum 10 points)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Does the Vice-Chancellor fully support the proposed ACE Impact center?</li> <li>• Does the Vice-Chancellor offer the appropriate institutional support to the center?</li> <li>• What has been the institutional support – including the Vice-Chancellor – for the existing ACE 1 center(s)?</li> <li>• Does the institutional leadership offer a compelling vision that fully incorporates the proposed center?</li> <li>• Is the institutional ownership of the proposed center evident from faculty and student awareness and inclusion?</li> </ul>	<p>Score /10</p>
Comments to justify score:	
<p><b>Potential for Institutional Impact (maximum 5 points)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is the institution committed to incorporating global best practices for higher education management?</li> <li>• Does the Vice-Chancellor and institutional leadership support targeted institutional reforms?</li> <li>• Does the institution have a strategic plan for management, governance and operational improvements/reforms?</li> <li>• Does the institution have a commitment to regionalization, and a plan for implementing a regionalization strategy?</li> <li>• What institutional impact has the current ACE 1 Center had?</li> </ul>	<p>Score /5</p>
Comments to justify score:	

<p><b>Government Involvement and Ownership (maximum 5 points)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is the government fully committed to support the proposed ACE Impact center?</li> <li>• How has the government supported current ACE I centers? What specific support did the government offer the existing ACE 1 center?</li> <li>• Does the government offer a compelling vision for the higher education sector that fully incorporates the proposed center?</li> <li>• Is the government committed to academic independence in the ACE Impact centers?</li> </ul>	<p>Score /5</p>
<p>Comments to justify score:</p>	
<p><b>Center Leadership (maximum 10 points)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is the proposed center leadership suitably qualified and motivated to lead a center of excellence? How did the leadership perform in the ACE 1 project?</li> <li>• Does the proposed center leadership present a compelling vision for the center renewal?</li> <li>• Are faculty, staff and students supportive of the center leadership?</li> <li>• Is the management plan clearly delineated?</li> </ul>	<p>Score /10</p>
<p>Comments to justify score:</p>	

<p><b>Center Management and Administrative Capacity (maximum 10 points)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Does the proposed Center have the personnel capacity - at the management and administrative levels – to implement the education and research plans?</li> <li>• Does the proposed center and institution have effective policies and practices in place to support the education and research activities? What have the center and the institution learned from ACE 1 implementation, and how are these lessons incorporated into the renewal proposal?</li> </ul>	<p>Score /10</p>
<p>Comments to justify score:</p>	
<p><b>Resources (maximum 5 points)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Does the proposed center have the physical resources – classrooms, teaching laboratories, etc. – necessary to undertake its education plans?</li> <li>• Does the proposed center have the physical resources – laboratories, computational resources, library resources, etc. – necessary to undertake its research plan?</li> <li>• What resources were obtained through ACE 1 funding, and have these resources been effectively integrated into the renewal proposal?</li> <li>• Does the proposed center have a convincing, and reasonable, plan to procure new equipment, materials and other items necessary to complete the proposed ACE Impact workplan?</li> </ul>	<p>Score /5</p>
<p>Comments to justify score:</p>	
<p><b>Center and Institutional Capacity to Implement Activities (maximum 5 points)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Does the institution have a record of achievement in supporting large project awards? Does the institution have effective policies and practices in place to manage large awards?</li> <li>• Do the center and institution have an adequate understanding of potential risks, and a management strategy to mitigate issues that arise?</li> <li>• Do the institution, the International Scientific Advisory Board (ISAB) and Sectoral Advisory Board (SAB) have an appropriate role in center management and oversight?</li> </ul>	<p>Score /5</p>
<p>Comments to justify score:</p>	

<p><b>Sectoral Engagement (maximum 10 points)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Did the site visit team meet with appropriate sectoral stakeholders? Does the sector buy in to the goals of the proposed center?</li> <li>• Does the proposed center have the essential sectoral partners – including the major national and regional stakeholders addressing the Development Challenge.</li> <li>• Are sectoral stakeholders prepared to contribute intellectually to the education and research programs of the proposed center?</li> <li>• Has the proposed center established the necessary partnerships to succeed in its vision and goals?</li> <li>• Comment on the financial commitment and other contributions of the partners to the proposed center.</li> <li>• Comment on the commitment of the proposed Sectoral Advisory Board.</li> <li>• Is there a record of achievement for sectoral-academic partnerships involving those participants in the proposed center? Are ACE 1 partners committed to the renewal?</li> </ul>	<p>Score /10</p>
<p>Comments to justify score:</p>	
<p><b>Center Vision (maximum 5 points)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Does the On-site visit inspire confidence in the vision for the proposed center?</li> <li>• Do all center participants – leadership, faculty, staff, partners, institutional leadership, students – support the vision of the proposed center?</li> <li>• Does the vision include a viable plan for sustainability?</li> </ul>	<p>Score /5</p>
<p>Comments to justify score:</p>	

<p><b>Relevance to Development Challenge (based upon Desk/Panel Review)</b></p>	<p>/10</p>
<p><b>Education (based upon Desk/Panel Review)</b></p>	<p>/20</p>
<p><b>Research (based upon Desk/Panel Review)</b></p>	<p>/15</p>

<p><b>Results from ACE 1 Support (maximum 20 points)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Are the results from the ACE 1 Center readily apparent and communicated by leadership, faculty and students?</li><li>• Is the Center well-positioned to build upon its accomplishments in a renewal phase?</li><li>• Should a renewal of this ACE 1 Center be a priority for the ACE Impact project?</li><li>• Is the Center positioned to be a regional hub?</li><li>• Was ACE 1 funding effectively leveraged by the center and the university to raise the regional profile of the institution?</li></ul>	<p>Score /20</p>
<p>Comments to justify score:</p>	

**Additional Comments by Evaluator**

**Name of Evaluator:**

**Signature of Evaluator:**

**Date:**